(Central Registry) **Recipe for Success:** We couldn't do it without YOU!



MOKA Regional Cancer Registrars Meeting Branson, MO 26 September 2014



Jeannette Jackson-Thompson, MSPH, PhD Director Chester Schmaltz, PhD Senior Statistician

Missouri Cancer Registry and Research Center (MCR-ARC) University of Missouri, School of Medicine, Health Management & Informatics Department

Acknowledgments

Thanks to:

- My co-author, Chester Schmaltz
- Other MCR-ARC staff members
 - Nancy Cole, CTR, Operations Manager
 - Nancy Role, CTR, new Ops Manager
- CTRs and other registrars/staff of reporting facilities across the state
- Staff from the other CCRs with which we have data exchange agreements (and their reporting facilities)

Objectives

- Describe/explain:
 - Importance of facilities' tumor registrars to central cancer registry (CCR) activities
 - Relevance of interstate data exchange
 - Next-of-kin requests (primarily Energy Employees Compensation Act)
 - 2014 report on breast cancer survival in Missouri

Objectives (cont'd)

- Describe/explain:
 - Use of data visualization software to increase awareness/improve understanding of cancer incidence and behavioral risk factors
 - Challenges facing CCRs

Background

- Timely, complete, & accurate cancer data are needed for
 - Public health surveillance,
 - Research, and
 - Variety of other purposes
- Without the diligent work of certified tumor registrars (CTRs) and other reporting facility staff, CCRs would be severely limited in their ability to meet these needs

Background (cont'd)

- All U.S. and Canadian CCRs are members of the National Association of Central Cancer Registries (NAACCR)
- U.S. CCRs follow standards set by:
 - CDC National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR)
 - National Cancer Institute (NCI) Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program
 - NAACCR

Background: CCR Funding

- All 4 MOKA CCRs are funded in part by CDC-NPCR
- Oklahoma's Cherokee Nation Registry is supported in part by NCI-SEER
 - Covers self-reported American Indians in the 14-county Cherokee Nation Tribal Jurisdictional Service Area (CNTJSA) in northeast OK

CCR Certification

- CCR data quality (2014 certification for cases diagnosed in 2011)
 - Missouri: Currently Gold
 - Oklahoma: Currently Silver
 - Gold in 2012 for 2009 cases
 - Kansas: Currently Gold
 - Arkansas
 - Gold in 2011 for 2008 cases

Hospital v. Central Registries

- Both types of registries play an important role in cancer surveillance and research
 - Hospital
 - Detailed tumor/treatment/comorbidity information
 - Very timely
 - Active follow-up of survivorship (CoC)
 - Central
 - Fewer details, less timely
 - Population-based
 - Consolidated from multiple sources
 - Incidence rates and trends

Limitation of CCRs

- The majority of NPCR-funded CCRs only collect 1st course treatment
 - Summary treatment information
 - No comorbidities
- This limits the ability to:
 - Evaluate outcomes
 - Conduct comparative effectiveness research

Importance of Facilities' Tumor Registrars to CCR Activities

- Without facility registrars, CCR staff couldn't function!
- CCRs critically rely on reporters for:
 - Dedication
 - Desire for continuous quality improvement
 - Timely submission of reportable cases
 - Response to death clearance requests
 - Willingness to participate in cancer inquiries, special projects

Relevance of Interstate Data Exchange

- Identify unreported cases (or obtain additional information)
 - Patient diagnosed &/or treated in another state
- Missouri currently has case sharing agreements with 19 other states
 - Including OK, KS & AR + remaining 5 border states & 11 other states
- Particularly important for states
 - With major population centers on/near the border
 - Whose residents have homes in 2 states
 - Whose residents travel out-of-state for diagnosis/ treatment

Interstate Data Exchange (cont'd)

- Same NAACCR data exchange layout used by all states
 - Consolidated record, not abstract-level data
 - Limited # of data elements, text
 - Receiving registry must rely on quality of data from sending registry
- So, it isn't just the state that a facility-based registrar works in that benefits from quality work, other states benefit

Interstate Data Exchange (cont'd)

Potential case-sharing opportunity

- A patient (local resident at time of dx) may later die while a resident of another state
- That other state may be erroneously reporting a DCO incidence case
- Notifying other states' CCRs of patients who die while residents of their state (but not when diagnosed) may be able to reduce these extra DCOs
- Encouraged by NPCR in making use of NDI results
 Not currently conducted by Missouri

Next-of-Kin Requests

- "During the period of the Cold War, thousands of workers were employed in the nation's atomic weapons programs. The work was dangerous and consequently many workers may have been exposed to radioactive and toxic substances."
 - <u>http://www.id.doe.gov/eeoicpa.htm</u>

Next-of-Kin Requests (cont'd)

- To ensure fairness & equity to nuclear workers, congress passed the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program (EEOICP)
 - Administered by the Department of Labor
 - Since 2001, compensation paid out to employees of the DOE, contractors and subcontractors, & to certain survivors of such individuals

Next-of-Kin Requests (cont'd)

- MCR-ARC responds to requests for verification of a tumor diagnosis:
 - Next-of-kin must provide documentary evidence (e.g., requestor's driver's license, marriage certificate, death certificate, will)
 - The resulting letter is used by a next-of-kin as evidence for a compensation claim
 - A CCR is uniquely situated as an effective and efficient location for these types of verification
 - Years after exposure/diagnosis, CCR records may be only ones that survive

Next-of-Kin Requests (cont'd)

- DOE claims not only source of request:
 - Survivor wants information
 - Patient may want to see own record
- Clearly, having accurate and complete information reported by tumor registrars is critically important for compensation claims or to provide information to individual or family

- Like many NPCR-funded CCRs, MCR-ARC has considered itself an incidence-only registry (inadequate survivorship information)
 - Tracking survivorship is important for fully assessing the burden of cancer and comparing, *e.g.*, treatment outcomes, survivorship by age group, race/ethnicity, etc.
- Recently MCR-ARC has embarked on becoming a survival registry

Active follow-up

- Expensive
- Perhaps unnecessary
- Passive follow-up
 - Database linkage
 - State Death Certificate (DC) file
 - Social Security Death Index (SSDI)
 - National Center for Health Statistics' (NCHS') <u>National</u> <u>Death Index (NDI)</u>
 - May be sufficient for complete ascertainment of survival information

Female Breast Cancer, 2004-2010



Distribution of Stage at Diagnosis



Percentages do not add to 100% due to rounding



- Release of follow-up information to reporting sources
 - State DC file: depends on state statutes & regulations
 - In Missouri: <u>No</u> re-release to reporting sources
 - Other states may differ?
 - SSDI: Public information
 - Fact & date of death, but cause is not in the SSDI
 - NDI: Fact & date of death (not cause)
 - But cause of death is re-releasable to researchers for studies after formal review and approval

- The final report is under review
 - NDI linkage funded by DHSS's Comprehensive Cancer Program
 - Must be approved by DHSS before release
 - Missouri Cancer Consortium requested information on survival
 - DHSS's Show Me Healthy Women (MO's BCCCP Program) also interested

Data Visualization

- MCR-ARC makes incidence data directly available to the public through two main sources
- **1** Missouri Information for Community Assessment (MICA) website
 - Interactive system that allows users to create tables
 - Current 2014 data (complete cases diagnosed 1996-2011) at:
 - <u>http://health.mo.gov/data/mica/CancerMICA/index2014.html</u>
 - MICA also has other data (births, hospitalizations, behavioral risks, etc.)
 - <u>http://health.mo.gov/data/mica/MICA/</u>
 - Some users may prefer, and benefit from, having the data plotted rather than in tables

Data Visualization (cont'd)

- 2 Interactive mapping software
 - More intuitive means of disseminating information that can be easily understood
 - Currently focuses on major types of cancer plotted at the county level

Data Visualization (cont'd)



Age-adjusted Invasive Cancer Incidence Rate : All Sites : 2011

Experimental dashboard with InstantAtlas county cancer profile feature

Sources: MCR-ARC 2014DB (Complete 1998-2011 cases); US Combined (2010): 2013 NAACCR Call For Data, December 2012

	Select Cancer Site
🔻 Age-adjuste	d Invasive Cancer Incidence Rate
All Sites	
Female B	reast
Cervix	
Colon and	Rectum
Corpus ar	nd Uterus, NOS
Lung and	Bronchus
Prostate	
Urinary Bl	adder

		County		Age-adjusted Rate	Number of Cases
•	٩	Adair		418.3	104
	٩	Andrew		326.2	70
	٩	Atchison		270.2	24
•	٩	Audrain		468.2	144
•	٩	Barry		389.4	186
	9	Barton		322.4	52
•	٩	Bates		509.6	113
•	٩	Benton		449.4	147
•	Q	Bollinger		487	73
•	9	Boone		459.1	650 🔻
		State / US	•	Rate	Cases
•		Missouri State		436.6	30179
•		US (2010)		447.8	-
		Legend	Select Quartile		
0	· 🔽] Counties			
	16	2.7 - 382.2			
382.3 - 418.5					
	41	8.6 - 463.4			
	46	3.5 - 594.2			
-	~				



Note: ~ = Rates suppressed if < 16 cases or ^ = Cases suppressed if < 6 cases. Differences not measured if < 16 cases

Indicator	Period	Rate	Lowest	Selected County Cancer Profile (Major sites)	Highes
All Sites	2011	459.1	162.7	10	594.2
Female Breast	2006-2011	133.9	55.3	1	186.7
Cervix	1996-2011	5.8	5.8	• •	20.8
Colon and Rectum	2006-2011	37.7	30.8	• 1	69.6
Corpus and Uterus, NOS	1996-2011	27.2	12.4	•	37.2
Lung and Bronchus	2009-2011	66.7	37.1		127.1
Prostate	2006-2011	156.6	61.6		188.8
Urinary Bladder	1996-2011	18.5	8.9		26.7
Boone Statistically significant difference from t Missouri state average U.S. Comt Quartile 1 Quartiles 2-3 Quartil	he state rate: higher 🛑 lower 🔵 pined (2010) 🚦 e 4 📖	no difference 😑			



new high party cooperative agreement between Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) (#USR/DP00522402) and Surveillance Contract between DHSS and University of Missouri.

Missouri Cancer Registry and Research Center

County Rankings with 95% confidence intervals

Other displays of MO data

- MCR-ARC also reports data to national sources (CDC-NPCR & NAACCR) which releases MO incidence with other states
 - NPCR: <u>http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/uscs/</u>
 - NAACCR: <u>http://www.cancer-rates.info/naaccr/</u>
- National incidence data is in turn reported to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)
 - <u>http://www-dep.iarc.fr/</u>

National Incidence of Male Lung & Bronchus Cancer





Your Online Source for Credible Health Information

Global Incidence of Female Breast Cancer



Note, case selection criteria and age-standardization differs from that often used by US registries

Global Incidence of Cervix Uteri Cancer



Note, case selection criteria and age-standardization differs from that often used by US registries

Challenges CCRs Face

- Changes in medical practice & health care delivery have increased the incidence of cancers being diagnosed/treated outside a hospital setting
 - Time-consuming and expensive to obtain many of these cases
 - Cases diagnosed & treated in physician office most expensive & difficult to obtain

Challenges: Changes in Reporting (Cont'd)

- Complete surveillance of some cancers may be particularly affected by diagnosis/treatment outside of the hospital setting, *e.g.*,
 - Leukemia
 - Lymphoma
 - Melanoma skin cancer
 - Prostate cancer
 - In situ & localized breast cancer
 - Localized cervical & colorectal cancers

Challenges: C/PO Reporting

- Cases treated entirely within a physician office setting present a potential challenge for CCRs
 - Relatively large number of C/POs
 - Lack of trained tumor registrars to prepare abstracts
- EHRs offer some hope of capturing these cases

Challenges Facility-based & CCR Registries Face

- Increasing complexity of cancer registration
- Shortage of CTRs
- Aging workforce
- Reductions in funding
- Facility closures
- Facility mergers

Summary

- Different levels of cancer incidence data systems:
 - Local
 - State
 - National
 - International

 All rely on the dedication and desire for continuous quality improvement of tumor registrars

Conclusions

Virtually all aspects of CCR activities depend critically on experienced tumor registrars abstracting cases Nearly all aspects of the work Chester and I do depends on the work of facility registrars and CCR CTRs and database administrators.

Acknowledgments

- MCR-ARC data collection activities are supported by a Cooperative Agreement between the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) and the CDC and a Surveillance Contract between DHSS and the University of Missouri (#U58/DP003924-02/03)
- NDI linkage fees supported by CDC-NPCR
- NDI activity and MCR-ARC 2014 Breast & Cervical Cancer Survivorship report supported by funding from the DHSS' Comprehensive Cancer Program through a cooperative agreement between CDC and DHSS (part of the consolidated cancer agreement)



Contact info:

Jeannette Jackson-Thompson, MSPH, PhD

Director, Missouri Cancer Registry and Research Center Research Associate Professor, Health Management & Informatics JacksonThompsonJ@health.Missouri.edu

Chester Schmaltz, PhD

Senior Statistician, Missouri Cancer Registry and Research Center Health Management & Informatics <u>SchmaltzC@Missouri.edu</u>

401 Clark Hall University of Missouri, School of Medicine Columbia, MO 65211 573-882-7775 http://mcr.umh.edu